

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,369	09/23/2003	Richard Ma	3313-1036P	9195
2292 7.	590 03/31/2006	·	EXAMINER	
	VART KOLASCH &	DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2835	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/31/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,369	MA, RICHARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael V. Datskovskiy	2835		

	Michael V. Datskovskiy	2835					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the netition under 37 CED 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
	nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	he of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
	to a colonia and the shake of filling a build	ما المصموم ما فما الثين					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
			(DTOL 224)				
4. $igotimes$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpilant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	lund Dafflig	Michael V Datskov Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2835	rskiy				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claim 19 overcomes 102(e) rejection over Barsun et al and therefore presents a new issue, which was not claimed before.

Claims 12 and 18 are still rejectable by Barsun et al:

First: Applicant has not claimed either a specific location of a cooling fan or a specific quantity of cooling fans.

Second: Examiner does not agree with applicant's statement that: in Barsun et al reference "the two sets of fins are not on half sides of the base, but instead on essencially full sides, where the full sides are perpendicular." (Remarks, page 5, lines 12-14). On the contrary, Barsun et al also teach two sets of fins each of them located on a half side of the base, wherein said halfs are created by a diagonal of the base.

Michael Datskovskiy Primary Examiner AU2835